

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,339	12/15/2003	Rajesh K. Saini	2001-IP-005484U1P1	3700
71407 7590 06/27/2011 ROBERT A. KENT P.O. BOX 1431			EXAMINER	
			LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY	
DUNCAN, OK 73536			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/736,339	SAINI ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
TIMOTHY MEEKS	1715	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 25 Ma	y 2011.				
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following				
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pri lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r	ate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of that appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.						
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 42-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follo nsideration:	ws:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
 Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 						
All participants:						
(1) TIMOTHY MEEKS.	(3)Shrive Beck	<u>k</u> .				
(2) Elena Lightfoot.	(4)					
/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1715	/SHRIVE BECK/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1700	/Elena T Lightfoot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1715				